



RM of Edenwold Meeting Minutes

Public Hearing Meeting December 17, 2024 - 11:00 AM

Minutes of the Public Hearing Meeting of the Council of the Rural Municipality of Edenwold No. 158 held on Tuesday, December 17, 2024, in the Council Chamber of the Municipal Building, located at 100 Hutchence Road, Emerald Park, Saskatchewan, to receive verbal and written submissions in regard to:

11:00 a.m.

Bylaw 2024-32: Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment - Farm Worker Dwellings

The following members of Council and staff were present:

Reeve: Al Trainor

Councillors: Division #1 Carmen Leibel
Division #2 Stan Capnerhurst
Division #3 Nichole Posehn
Division #4 Karen Kotylak
Division #5 Stephen Werner
Division #6 Tim Brodt
Division #7 Darren Bezborotko

Chief Administrative Officer: Karen Zaharia
Manager of Engineering and Public Works: Clark Gates
Manager of Planning and Development: Paige Boha
Financial Officer: Sara Gartshore

Call to Order: 11:00 a.m.

Reeve Trainor noted the time being 11:00 a.m. and called the Public Hearing to order with it noted that the following members of the public were in attendance in the gallery: Craig Strudwick and John Panter.

Bylaw 2024-32: Zoning Bylaw Text Amendment - Farm Worker Dwellings

Reeve Trainor gave a brief overview of Bylaw No. 2024-32 being a bylaw to amend Bylaw No. 2019-20 known as the Zoning Bylaw by amending Sections 2.0, 5.0 and 7.0 to add "farm worker dwellings" as a discretionary use in the AR – Agricultural Resource zone and adding the associated development standards.

Reeve Trainor asked for written submissions in regard to Bylaw No. 2024-32. Manager of Planning & Development Paige Boha advised that there were no written submissions received.

Reeve Trainor asked for any verbal submissions. There was one (1) verbal submission received for this bylaw. They advised that a similar policy was removed from the RM Zoning Bylaw and replaced with the option for a second subdivision on the quarter section. They expressed their concern regarding the specificity to intensive livestock operations, suggesting that large-scale grain operations should also be considered for this provision to accommodate farm workers. They were opposed to the bylaw if it is specific to intensive livestock operations.

